

Written by Thomas Beresford, M.D. Thursday, 10 October 2013 00:00

The National Council on Alcoholism and Drug Dependence (NCADD), in cooperation with the Research Society on Alcoholism (RSA), is proud to provide Research in Alcoholism: Models and Science as the first article in the new NCADD Research Update. The Five Part series provides background information on the different ways of thinking about alcoholism that contribute to our understanding today. Following the Introduction, Parts 2, 3, and 4 will review different models of alcoholism, and the final part will respond to reader questions and comments.

While Research in Alcoholism: Models and Science provides a frame of reference, future NCADD Research Updates will maintain a clear focus on how scientific knowledge can impact and improve everyday practice in prevention, treatment or recovery. The NCADD Research Update will discuss topics that have practical application in the community, training program, clinic or treatment center, rather than on theoretical issues best left in the research laboratory. Where testable but unproven scientific hypotheses come in to play—as they often do in other media—the NCADD Research Update will hold them up to the standard of current usefulness.

#### **Questions/Comments:**

Because science, like prevention, treatment, and recovery, depends on the health of the community, we invite questions and comments from readers.

### **Editor: Thomas P. Beresford, M.D.**

Dr. Beresford is an internationally known physician and medical scientist in alcohol and drug use disorders. He is best known for his research work in alcoholism and liver transplant, aging and alcoholism, and the brain disorders of alcoholism. He conducts clinical studies in cocaine and other forms of drug abuse, with a special emphasis on persons with drug or alcohol use who have other

1 / 7



Written by Thomas Beresford, M.D. Thursday, 10 October 2013 00:00

major psychiatric illness at the same time. His Hepatology colleagues have cited his transplant work as opening that treatment to people with alcoholism. Dr. Beresford holds appointments as Physician with the Department of Veterans Affairs and as Professor of Psychiatry at the School of Medicine of the University of Colorado. Dr. Beresford is an ex-officio member of the NCADD Board of Directors representing the Research Society on Alcoholism (RSA).

# **Part One: Introduction**

The method of science and the processes of prevention, treatment, and recovery (PTR) often differ. Science is always and everywhere a series of hypotheses that must be proven by carefully gathered evidence. By contrast, PTR activities apply what is known to gain a pre-planned result, in this case either preventing alcoholism—or alcohol addiction, treating it where it already exists, or supporting alcohol abstinence in the course of recovery. Although not mutually exclusive, PTR always assert underlying beliefs and science always questions them.

Because of this, it may sometimes be easy for PTR professionals to regard scientists as rarely, if ever, providing any useful answers to troubling questions—such as how to treat alcohol addiction effectively--and for scientists to see PTR practitioners as "having all the answers" based on insufficient evidence. More importantly, however, both have a common goal: to lessen or eliminate the suffering and deaths due to alcoholism. Both share the common need to understand how best to accomplish this goal most effectively. To do so, each approach requires consideration of the underlying models—or thought systems—used to understand alcoholism and the PTR efforts directed towards it. A consideration of some of the models<sup>1</sup> used in different eras, listed in the Table, can illustrate why a common understanding is important.

### The Use of Models:

A discussion of the various models that have been applied to alcoholism will highlight the differences among each and, at the same time, find their similarities.<sup>2</sup> The reader will notice that each of the models contains elements that describe the different aspects of alcoholism, that most complex condition. Recognizing the importance of considering different models—even those that hard evidence causes us to discard in favor of those that offer better explanations—is one of the fundamental contributions of science in understanding the nature of alcoholism and what to do about it.<sup>3</sup> This flexibility of understanding allows science to construct hypotheses: "What if it works



Written by Thomas Beresford, M.D. Thursday, 10 October 2013 00:00

this way?" Scientific method then tries to answer the question with evidence, carefully gathered, and analyzed in respect to the laws of probability. Moving from this discussion of models—How it might work—to the discussions of specific questions and the evidence answering each, makes up the purpose and content of this series of Science Updates. This first step invites the reader to join in the consideration of different models as the work of research continues to seek—and find—the most effective ways of assisting those who suffer from this deadly condition.

# **Models of Alcoholism**

Etiology	Appro	Clinical	Response/Effect	Clinical Outcome(s)
of	ach	Intervention		
Alcohol				
Abuse				
or				
Depend				
ence				
Belief	Individ	Exercise a	"A 'bad' person	Subjective definitions
Structure	ual	personal	trying to become	of "good" and "bad;"
1	Moral	moral choice	good"	lessening hope for
Individua	Failing <sup>i</sup>			improvement
I Choice	Religio	Proclaim a	Personal alcohol	Dependent on
	us	moral doctrine	use ban	adherence to specific
	Beliefs <sup>ii</sup>			belief system(s)
	Chara	Provide	Innate character	Hope may assist
	cter	psychotherapy	pathology versus	abstinence;
1				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Beresford, T., Psychological Adaptive Mechanisms, Oxford University Press, 2012; see Chapter 2 on using models in human behavior medicine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Vaillant, G.E., The Natural History of Alcoholism, Revisited, Harvard University Press, 1995; see Chapter 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>For examples of models related to alcoholism treatment, see Babor TF, et al. Unitary versus multidimensional models of alcoholism treatment outcome: an empirical study. Journal of Studies in Alcohol, 49:167-77, 1988; Miller WR, and Kurtz E. Models of alcoholism used in treatment: contrasting AA and other perspectives with which it is often confused. Journal of Studies in Alcohol, 55:159-66, 1994



Written by Thomas Beresford, M.D. Thursday, 10 October 2013 00:00

	Pathol		alcohol induced	psychotherapy at
	ogy <sup>iii</sup>		personality	underlying causes
			changes	may provide hope
			_	(placebo) or may
				worsen drinking
Sociocult	Habit <sup>iv</sup>	Provide	Generally short	Disulfiram
ural		aversive	term effect only	("Antabuse") as a
Influence		negative		disincentive/reminder
s/		conditioning		not to drink that day
Learned	Learne	Learning new	Breaking drinking	Techniques useful for
Behavior	d	behavioral	cue patterns	individuals; specific
s	Behavi	patterns		programs find short
	or <sup>v</sup>			term gains
	Self-In	None	No treatment	Social Stigma-Based:
	flicted <sup>vi</sup>			Does not account for
				physiological
				dependence on
				alcohol
	Politic	1) British Pub	1) Social sanctions	1) Improved public
	al/	System; 2)	against	health, decreased
	Gover	18th and 21st	drunkenness,	medical burden; 2)
	nment	Amendments,	controlled	Decreased cirrhosis
	al	United States	availability; 2) Ban	rates; increased
	Probl	Constitution	drinking	prominence of
	em <sup>vii</sup>			organized crime
Medical /	Medic	Symptoms,	"A sick person	Disease Model: 1)
Physiolo	al	signs, course,	trying to become	Hope, 2) Application
gical	Illnes	treatment	well"	of clinical science
Causes	s <sup>viii</sup>			from many
				disciplines, 3)
				Self-help programs
				such as Alcoholics
				Anonymous, 4)
				Systematic studies of



Written by Thomas Beresford, M.D. Thursday, 10 October 2013 00:00

				diagnosis, treatment
				and prevention
	Geneti	Choice vs. No	"Choice" continues	Unclear: Possible
	С	choice (i.e.,		early
	Condit	congenital		identification/preventi
	ion <sup>ix</sup>	predisposition		on; some genetic
		to		influence in some
		disease/disord		patient groups, not a
		ers)		genetic death
				sentence
	Adapti	Abstinence to	Restore the brain's	Development
	ve	improve brain	neuroadaptive	of/return to Mature
	Behavi	function	abilities	level adaptation; 12
	or			Steps
	Mecha			
	nisms <sup>x</sup>			

<sup>i</sup>Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, Doctrine and Covenants 89:1-21; The Book of Discipline of the United Methodist Church, United Methodist Publishing House, 2004

<sup>ii</sup>Clinebell, H.J., Understanding and Counseling the Alcoholic, Abingdon Press, 1956; Burns, K., (2009) Prohibition [DVD], United States: Florentine Films

iiiChafetz, M.E., Blaine, H.T., Hill, M.J., Frontiers of Alcoholism, Science House, 1970



Written by Thomas Beresford, M.D. Thursday, 10 October 2013 00:00

ivFox, R., Antabuse as an Adjunct to Psychotherapy in Alcoholism, New York State Journal of Medicine, 1958; "Treatment Programs Overview," Retrieved September 28, 2013 from http://schickshadel.com/treatment-programs-overview/

<sup>v</sup>Miller, W., Munoz, R.F., Controlling Your Drinking, Second Edition, Guilford Press, 2013; Armor, D.J., Polich, M., Braiker, H.B., Alcoholism and Treatment, RAND Corporation, 1976

viTraynor v. Turnage - 485 U.S. 535, 1988; Fingarette, H., Heavy Drinking: The Myth of Alcoholism as a Disease, University of California Press, 1989

viiBeerhouse Act of 1830 (11 Geo. 4 and 1 Will 4 c. 64), Parliament of Great Britain

viii Jellinek, E.M., Disease Concept of Alcoholism, College and University Press, 1960; Valliant, G.E., The Natural History of Alcoholism Revisited, Harvard University Press, 1983

<sup>ix</sup>Shuckit, M.A., Drug and Alcohol Abuse: A Clinical Guide to Diagnosis and Treatment, Springer, 2006

<sup>x</sup>Beresford, T., Psychological Adaptive Mechanisms, Oxford University Press, 2012, Chapter 22.

The NCADD Research Update welcomes constructive comments on current installments and suggestions for further topics.

# Acknowledgements:

Benjamin Temple, Francisco Maravilla, Chelsea Dize, Ph.D., and Chad Emrick, Ph. D., deserve credit and many thanks for their assistance in developing this Table.

Dr. Beresford would like to thank Ben Temple, Francisco Maravilla and Dr. Chad Emrick for their invaluable review and comment on this series, along with Robert Lindsey, Leah Brock and Greg Muth of NCADD as well as David Lewis, MD and Sara Jo Nixon, PhD, my most esteemed colleagues.



Written by Thomas Beresford, M.D. Thursday, 10 October 2013 00:00

**Acknowledgements:** Benjamin Temple, Francisco Maravilla, Chelsea Dize, Ph.D., and Chad Emrick, Ph. D., deserve credit and many thanks for their assistance in developing this Table.

7 / 7